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The Apple of His Ire: For monologist Mike Daisey, the death of Steve Jobs was another defining moment in a remarkable career
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The Apple of His Ire

For monologist Mike Daisey, the death of Steve Jobs was another defining moment in a remarkable career.
The guy certainly has timing.

Mike Daisey ’96 was a day away from beginning technical rehearsals for the run of his latest show at the Public Theater in New York when he heard the news that Steve Jobs, cofounder of Apple, had died. Which was of particular interest to him because Jobs is the subject of that show. And it’s no hagiography.

“The Agony and the Ecstasy of Steve Jobs,” the piece is called. In it Daisey, who in the last decade has become the country’s leading monologist, starts by confessing his love of Apple products but works his way around to a scathing condemnation of the way they’re made: with cheap Chinese labor working in wretched conditions. He calls out Jobs for not using his standing in the computer business and American society to change this.

But if you’re thinking Daisey experienced a twinge of glee at Jobs’s death, you’re wrong. “I felt terrible,” he said, recalling the moment over a long lunch at Frankies 457, a cozy Italian restaurant near his home in Carroll Gardens, Brooklyn. He knew the man was ill, of course—indeed, he knew more than most people, because he had been performing “Agony and Ecstasy” for a year around the country, and people with connections to Apple who had seen it would pass him tidbits of information.

“But I didn’t make any preparations,” he said. “It’s like dealing with someone who’s dying in your family. In an abstract way you’re like, ‘Well, one day they’ll die, but that one day is solidly in the future; no matter where we are, it’s in the future.’ So it’s still shocking when they actually die.”

Daisey was in his living room October 5 when the news came over the wires. He took a few quiet moments to reflect before going to tell his wife, Jean-Michele Gregory, who is also his director, so they could begin figuring out what the death meant for their show. Then, within 15 minutes, the New York Times was calling asking him to write an oped piece about Jobs, which he did (annoying the Apple faithful, since the article was not the worshipful mush everyone else was writing in those first days after Jobs’s passing). “In a way, I was grateful to be busy,” he said.
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That Daisey was in a position to have this high-profile theatrical moment was a result of another instance of serendipitous timing. Ten years earlier in Seattle, Daisey, still largely unknown, began sending out publicity for a new monologue he was about to unveil about his relatively brief tenure as an employee at amazon.com.

“The day after I sent out the press releases,” he said, “phones started ringing, and it just never stopped.”

It was the bursting of the dot-com bubble, and that show, “21 Dog Years: Doing Time @ amazon.com,” captured the moment perfectly. It ended up playing all over the world, including at the Cherry Lane Theater in New York. “He frequently seems to have wandered way wide of his narrative path,” Bruce Weber, reviewing the show for the Times in 2002, wrote of Daisey’s style, “only to swing satisfyingly back to it through an unexpected door.” The show and a subsequent book also earned him an appearance on The David Letterman Show.

Daisey’s monologues are remarkable feats of extemporaneous speaking. The Steve Jobs piece runs about two hours, no break; just Daisey in a chair talking, with some minimal lighting effects helping him out. And no script.

“There’s definitely an element of feeling like you’re falling off a cliff,” he said of this type of performance. “There’s a real terror to it.” But terror is the monologist’s friend. “If I ever don’t feel any terror before a show at all,” he said, “then that’s a very good sign that I’m about to have a really bad performance.”

When Daisey first arrived at Colby in the fall of 1991, poetry, not performing, was the main thing on his mind. He had grown up in Fort Kent, in Aroostook County, but the family had moved to Newport, in central Maine, just as he was starting high school. Dennis Gilbert ’72, a teacher in a regional gifted-and-talented program, encouraged his writing, which was mostly poetry, and since Gilbert had gone to Colby, Daisey applied there. He was accepted. His vision of his future as he started college was nothing like the future that actually came about.

“I thought the sexiest thing that could happen to me was, I’ll be an English professor,” he said. “One of the things that’s a problem with the American theater today is that performance is a lot like flying,” he said, and learning to fly involves a little instruction and a lot of hours in the air. “People don’t get enough flight time. The only flight time that actually counts is on a stage in front of a paying audience. Colby gave me a tremendous amount of flight time.”

Daisey made the Colby theater program a central part of “How Theater Failed America,” a monologue that features a riotous description of Emeritus Professor Dick Sewell, then adjunct associate professor of theater and dance. (“Recalling his college days at what he calls a micro-Ivy in New England,” Caryn James wrote in her Times review in 2008, “he combines a sense of the ridiculous—an inspiring acting teacher resembled Gollum in ‘Lord of the Rings’—with an unsappy yet powerful sense of the wonder of theater.”) All that stage time and the tutelage of Sewell and others, he said, gave him something many people trying to fashion careers in the theater these days lack.

“It’s a wonderful experience to live all those lives,” he said. “I didn’t realize how far outside the norm it was. It really made me the performer I am now.” And if you think a monologist isn’t performing, but merely talking, you haven’t seen Mike Daisey work. With gestures, variations in his voice (this guy can be very loud), the well-timed pause, he conjures characters and creates momentum as well as any show with a large cast and budget.

Colby had other lessons to teach Daisey, who is from a family of modest means. “Not everything about Colby was positive, and the nonpositive parts were also very influential,” he said. “I had not truly realized what privilege was until I went to Colby. And the experience of being around people with money, real money, was shocking and startling for me.”
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After Colby, Daisey made his way to Seattle,

“primarily because it was very far away from Maine,”

and made an effort to shed the performing bug. “I

tried not to write and I tried not to do theater,” he

said. “And I failed at both. I really did conceptualize it

that way. I saw it as falling off the wagon.”

It’s an apt analogy, because the leap to his cur-

crent career occurred at a bar.

“During that period I would go to bars after

shows,” he recalled. “And people would say, ‘Where

are you from?’ I’d say Maine, and they would say,

‘Maine? That’s a long way from Seattle. What

brought you here?’ And I would say, ‘Well, blaaaaaw-

wwgg,’” making a sound as if disgorging everything

from his brain.

“I would tell the entire story,” he said. “It was like

‘The Rime of the Ancient Mariner.’ I would fix them

with my hoary eye, and they’d be trapped there,

those poor people at the bar, until I released them, a

painfully long time later.”

Acquaintances started suggesting he try the same

thing on stage, so he rented a small theater,

booking a late-night time slot. Then he sat down

to write his show. Nothing came. A friend, though,

helped him around that roadblock.

“He just said, ‘Maybe you don’t want to write it,

maybe you want to tell it,’” Daisey said. “It sounds

ridiculous, but it was like bells going off.”

So that’s what he did—and it seemed to work.

“The show was very successful, that first mono-

logue,” he said, adding, “Let’s be clear: it was very

successful in the context of late-night garage theater

in Seattle. That means, namely, that we were pulling

in, sometimes, as many as twenty-five people.”

The crowds have grown—the “Agony and

Ecstasy” run at the Public, one of New York’s most

prestigious theaters, has been a tough ticket and was

extended—but the way he works hasn’t changed.

“The pattern from that very first monologue has

remained substantially the same,” he said. “I like

to think that I’m better at it now, but the core is

the same. I wrote nothing, not a single word, but I

thought about it endlessly, about twenty-four

hours before the first performance. And then I made

an outline. And then, opening night, the lights came

up. I had no idea what I was going to say, truly, but

began to speak, and I performed the show.”

That there is no script means his shows are dif-

erent each night. It also means he has the luxury

of learning from reviews and making adjustments

based on them. That was something he had to learn;

a negative review of an early monologue drove him

from performing for three years (and, fortuitously,

led him to take the job at amazon.com).

“The same way that a critic maintains a critical
distance when they write the reviews, it’s so impor-
tant to maintain a critical distance when you read

your reviews,” he said. “They’ll eat you alive, in every
direction. If they’re positive, they’ll destroy you. If

d they’re negative, they’ll destroy you.”

“One thing that has come out of that distance,”

he added, “is that I think we”—he and his wife—“are

the people in the American theater who have the

highest track record of making changes in our work

based on critics’ feedback, because there is no play-

wright; everyone’s integrated. If we read reviews that

actually touch us, changes tend to happen.”

More important in the shaping of a piece, though,
is that mysterious inner voice that creative people

have. The subconscious, Daisey calls it. The mono-

logues may not be scripted, but they do have a

structure. Yet each time they’re performed, the shape

changes because an element or a phrase that he

didn’t realize was there makes its presence known.

“Sometimes something will be consciously built

as a framework to get somewhere,” he said, “but

then something new will flower in a performance.

The things that flower tend to stay. The things that

were built tend to be superstructure, and they get

removed as other parts get stronger, until there’s al-

most nothing in it that’s not from my subconscious.

Because generally my subconscious is better at

being spontaneous and inventive than I am. I’m not

bad, but my subconscious is much better.”

And so he found himself, on Oct. 11, six days after

Jobs’s death, giving the first performance of “Agony

and Ecstasy” at the Public. And yet another element

that can change a show was felt, dramatically.

“It was really charged; it was like there was a

thunderstorm in the room, or there was about to be

one,” he said of that first performance. “It made for a
difficult launch. It really was like a weather system—
six days after his death was different than doing it

seven days after his death, was different than doing it
eight days. Each night the level of tension and
dislocation was different.”

Daisey sees a parallel between his years at

Colby—entering as a poet with visions of being an

English professor; emerging as something complete-

ly different—and the evolution of his monologues.

“You change more, you find out who you are

more, when you’re young,” he said, and so do the

monologues. “It’s very similar to that arc we have, in

that when we’re young we don’t know who we are,

we don’t know what story it is we want to tell. Shows

change twenty percent, twenty-five percent, from

performance to performance. But then you start to

figure out what it is you want to say. It gets to the

point where it’s a fully mature adult monologue. It’s

still changing, but now it’s changing very little.”

“Agony and Ecstasy,” he believes, reached its

adulthood in those days after Jobs’s death.

“I didn’t have the insight to know this ahead of
time,” he said, “but until he passed away, the show

was not done.”